Running head: BILATERAL EYE-MOVEMENTS

The Impact of Bilateral Eye Myements on Frontal-Midline Theta

Michael J. Tumminia

Abstract

The bilateral eye-movement manipulation fattles cognition on a range of cognitive tasks,

including executive functions task

Executing rapid bilateral eye-movementa isnanipulation that has gained increasing attention in recent years dueits effects on cognition. Research on bilateral eye-movements (BEMs) has demonstrated its effects on cognitin areas such as memory, attention, and creativity, among others (e.g., Christman, Gyr Peropper, & Phaneuf, 2003; Lyle & Martin, 2010; Shobe, Ross, & Fleck, 2009). Howeverserech exploring the impact of BEMs on cognition is mixed, with results arying depending on consistently handedness (e.g., consistent versus inconsistent handed; Brunye, Mahyor augustyn, & Taylor, 2009; Lyle, Hanaver-Torrez, Hacklander, & Edlin, 2012; Lyle, Logaa Roediger, 2008; Parker & Dagnall; 2010), as well as whether eye movements were horizontal or vertical (Christmatin 2003; Lylee al., 2008). More importantly, there isshortage of neuroimaging resen clarifying the impact of BEMs on brain activity (c.f., Propper, Pier Geisler, Christman & Bellardo, 2007; Samara, Elzinga, Slagter, & Nieuwenhuis, 2011).

H

of executive attention and working memory (Gevinal., 1998; Gevins, Smith, McEvoy, & Yu, 1997), and will allow a direct ste of the SICE theory.

The BEM manipulation is typically implemented using 30 s of rapid eye movements performed by participants trackingdot visually that alternates in location between the left and right sides of a computer mean every 500 ms (Christmanal., 2003; see also Lyle & Edlin, 2015; Shobe, Ross, & Fleck, 2009). BEMs have beed insclinical settigs such as during therapy for PTSD. According to time and a light alleviation of ditressing memories (Shapiro, 1995), BEMs facilitate the processing alleviation of ditressing memories (Shapiro, 1989). Shapiro's model postulates internal and external triggers can elicit the original perceptions of distressing memory thereby initigry psychosomatic symptoms (e.g., high anxiety, nightmares, intrusive thouglastomon & Shapiro, 2008). According to the AIP model (Solomon & Shapiro, 2008), the bilatetianstation in EMDR therapies allows the individual to access previously stored dysfurmation formation and to link the distressing memory with information from other memory thereby enabling new associations. The reduction in distressing memory of PTSD following BEMs has been supported in various studies (e.g., Lee & Drummond, 2008; L

callosum. The assumption is that BEMs equalized vation levels of the hemispheres allowing

six days. One week after submitting their jolumenatries, participants were assigned to participate in one of two conditions before recall; a 30 s BEM contidine or a 30 s central-control condition. Participants in the BEM conditionceded significantly more journal entries and produced fewer false recalls than the centoentecol condition. The results of Experiment 2 suggest that BEMs increased episodic memory restrier real-life events in addition to the labbased word lists used in Experiment 1. Howelvec, ause hemispherictive ation or interaction was not measured for either experiment the theory in the memory enhancement, it is unknown if performance following BEMs correlate with a change in IHI.

In subsequent research exploring the nectoral elates of BEMs propper and colleagues (Proppere al., 2007) used EEG to measure potential nges in coordination between the hemispheres after participants were expose BEMs. Propper et al. (2007) examined gamma activity (35-54 Hz) due to theirs acciation with the processing processing provide memories (Babiloni te al., 2010). Examination of hortogous frontal sites FP1 and FR2 re also chosen because of their associations with episodic memory. This indicate that engaging in BEMs led to a decrease in the correlation optimma power between the two from the sites compared to a central-control condition. The researchershowledged the discrepancy between their findings and the IHI hypothesis astated that changes in braiting do not always translate into changes in cognitive function (Proppeal., 2007). According to ropper et al. (2007), interhemispheric coherence indieathat the two hemispheres are doing similar things, and interhemispheric interaction indicates that tweemispheres are performing coordinated, but not necessarily similar things. The authors assattandecrease in interhemispheric coherence does not necessarily indicatereduction in IHI (Propper al., 2007), as was seen in prior research using bimanual motor tasks in which participasties wed significant increas in coordination of

their left and right hands (IHI), but decreasof gamma-band interhemispheric coherence between the hemispheres (Gerloff & Andres, 2002).

As a direct follow up, Samate al. (2011) tested the IH hypothesis and highlighted

of frontal electrodes (FT7 and FT8) showedbearease in alpha band (8-13 Hz) coherence after BEMs. Samara et al. (2011) presume that singleaacoherence has previously been shown to be reduced during a cognitive taskrones a resting state (Nun 22000), perhaps less coherence in the alpha frequency band at from the transfer of reflects brain states ated to decreased arousal or cognitive processing. Interesting by phavioral data indicated a signation increase in recall of emotional words for the BEM condition compated he central-control condition. This set of findings suggests that IHI may not be the calitic hange in brain activity associated with retrieval enhancement and that cognitive enhancement may the result of other underlying mechanisms.

To further test the IHI theoryLyle and Martin (2010) utilized a letter matching task to differentiate the impact of BEMs on intreatinispheric processing versus interhemispheric processing. In this task, participtanewere asked to fixate on a **sso**in the middle of the computer screen and indicate when the bottom letter meade to pletter the probe are presented by pressing the letter "h". The authors reasoned atthif the target and a matching the probe are presented in the aric trials), then the two letters are processed within the same hemisphere and IHI is not necessary to probe are presented in differentiatual fields (interhemisphericials), they are processed in different hemispheres and IHI is necessary infatch detection. Participants completed two experimental blocks after then the ended to manipulation and two presented in the processed to presented in the same hemisphere in the two are presented blocks after then the processary infatch detection. Participants completed two incongruent flankers were signiciantly faster following BEMs than a central-control condition, and no differences were found in RTs between grownpussials containingcongruent flankers. Therefore, BEMs reduced RTs whenever threase incongruent input hat required greater attentional control to overcomeet mismatch, an indication the EMs specifically enhanced the subsequent operation of the executive of the network (Edlin & Lyle, 2013).

The purpose of the present research wassdasure the electrophysiological effects of BEMs on the frontal-midline region of the braffrontal-midline theta (FMT) is defined as rhythmic waves at a frequency of 4-8 Hz meaduat electrode Fz reflecting activity of dense projections from Brodmann's Areas 8, 9, 24, aad 33 to the frontal-midline region (Gevins al., 1997; Ishile al., 2014; Pizzagalli, Oakes, & Daviads, 2003). Interestingly and relevant to the current study, voluntary eye movements visual attention artichked to the same Brodmann's areas (Iman, Hakeem, Erwin, Nimchinsky, & Hof, 2001) urvese al., 2001; Squirete al., 2012). Moreover, increases in FMT haveen associated with increased attention (Asada, Fukuda, Tsunoda, Yamaguchi, & Tonpike99), task difficulty (Gevins, Smith, McEvoy, & Yu, 1997; Smith, Gevins, Brown, Kakni& Du, 2001), and memory load (Tesche & Karhu, 2000) which are components of the cognitives that have been affected by BEMs in prior research (Lyle & Edit, 2015; Martin & Lyle, 2010).

Additionally, increases in FMT during epistic memory retrieval tasks (Addante, Watrous, Yonelinas, Ekstrom, & Ranganath, 2031 Auber, Tsivilis, Giabbiconi, & Muller, 2008) and in working memory tasks have been reported (Gevants 1997; Gevints al., 1998; Hsieh, Ekstrom, & Ranganath; 2011; Robertsieh, & Ranganath, 2013). In one study that explored the relation between FMT and telisticulty, Gevins and colleagues (Gevinsal., 1998) examined the sensitivity of EEG meastores ariations in working memory load as

sustained attentional control may be assediatith the enhanced gnition that occurs following BEMs.

In addition to studies that have shown the pearance of FMT to be more pronounced during the performance of attition demanding tasks (Gevinesal., 1997; Mizuki, Tanaka, Isozaki, Nishijima, & Inanaga, 1980; Kubottaal., 2000), other investingions have shown a strong link between FMT activity and lower traintxiety (Inanaga, 1998) and lower state anxiety (Suetsugi, 2000). To explore a prove the exists between the apprance of FMT and a significant change in self-report anxiety measures, behalvine as of personality and affect were administered to use as poseibe bvariates in the analyses.

The present research explored difference SMT in resting-state EEG activity recorded before and after participants completed 30 BEMs or 30 s of a central-control manipulation. Comparable to the method applied by Sametaral. (2011), a 4-min baseline recording, alternating in 1-min intervals between eyes opend eyes closed was recorded before exposure to either the BEM or centralectrol manipulation followed by **4**-min post recording using the same recording sequence. The change in FMT was compared between the BEM group and central-control group to test the hypothesis th**ati** cpants in the BEM condition would show a greater increase in FMT afteret manipulation than participarits the central-control condition.

M

₽

Ninety-one undergraduate psytology students from Stockton Undersity participated in the research for course credit. Exclusion criterridauded a history of arumatic brain injury or neurological disorder, epilepsay, history of mental health solorder and/or current use of medications for the treatment of mental health solorders, and substancee/and diction in the past year.. Sixteen participants did not have us and were solor excluded from the analysis. Lastly, only partipeants who scored a 70 or higher on the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI; Oldfield, 1971) we classified as strongly righanded and were included in the analysis. Those who scored below 70 on the were classified as weak-handed and were excluded from analysis (15), leaving 60 participants (6 feres, 54 males) for data analysis. Demographics and data from self-report measor personality and affect for the remaining participants are provided by condition in Table 1.

V

М

The PANAS is comprised of 20 emotionals deptors, 10 which assess negative affect (NA; e.g., hostile or nervous) and 10 which **assess** positive affect (PA; e.g., enthusiastic or attentive). Respondents indicate with at extent they have felt thready during the past week using a 5-point Likert scale. Wats cert al. (1988) have provided exide demonstrating that the PA and NA scales are valid assessments of positive and negative affect. For the PA Scale, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient ranged from .86900 and for the NA Scale was between .84 and .87. In addition, over an 8-week temperiod test-retesteliabilities (.68 for PA and .71 for NA) indicate that the PANAS is alized le measure of trait affected possesses strong concurrent validity for measures that include general retists and dysfunction, depression, and state anxiety (Watson et al., 1988).

R R The

SPQ-B is a 22 item self-report measure of solyized personality characteristics based on the original SPQ (Raine, 1991), a self-report schized on the DSM-III-R criteria for schizotypal personality disorder be SPQ-B contains three for solving modeled after the three

scissors, using a knife without a fork, and kistig a match) by choosing from the following responses (with the corresponding scoring): Alway is (-10), Usually Left (-5), No Preference (0), Usually Right (5), or Alwas Right (+10). Handedness scores for participants range from - 100 (dominantly left-handed) to (dominantly right-handed).

E

High-density EEG data were recorded hogs a 129-channel HydroCel Geodesic Sensor Net, with Cz reference (Electrical Geodes loss,). Sensor impedance levels were below 50 K The procedure for the present research was approved by Stockton University's Institutional Review Board. Participants begogingiving written informed consent for their participation in the project. The consent for was followed by the demographics form. Participants were then ask ted complete the PANAS (Wats on al., 1988), TIPI (Gosling al., 2003), and the SPQ-B (Raine & Benishay, 1995) rpt cidhe EEG portion of the experiment; the order of the questionnaires was counterbala acceds s participants. After the questionnaires were completed, the EEG net was applied. A residuation of distilled water and potassium chloride was used as a conductance medium.

During the EEG recording, participants begay having their resting brain activity recorded for 4 minutes, altering back and forth between finute eyes-closed and 1 minute eyes-open recordings. During thereeordings participants were asked to sit in a relaxed position and not to think about anything inarticular. After recording reing brain activity, half of the participants engaged in a BEM manipulationing for 30 seconds (experimental condition). In the BEM condition, participants were sked to track a moving circles it shifted back and forth between the left and right sides the computer screen, switch in location every .5 seconds (see Christman al., 2003). The other half of the pian sengaged in a central-control manipulation. In this task, participats were asked to view a circle the center of the computer screen that randomly changed color two times second. This control task offered visual stimulation but did not involve were movements. Random assignment was used to assign each participant to either the experimental condition. After the 30-second manipulation (experimental or control), participants' brain sity was recorded again for 4 minutes using the same sequence as in the pre-manipulation image. Immediately aftethe post-manipulation recording, participants completed the P

In order to explore differencies the distribution of absoluteower in the theta frequency band in the midline region in more depth, **x 2** x 3 mixed model analysis of variance was performed with visual manipulatio (BEM versus central-control) is a between-subjects variable and time (pre and post) and anterior-posteriol?) (Alectrode location (ederodes Fz, Cz, and Pz) as within-subjects variables to analyze (sepulfei 1 for the electrode yout used in the FMT from pre to post manipulation and the **tra**hcontrol group showed a decrease in FMT from pre to post manipulation (see Tablfæßelectrode power means by condition). Two supplemental analyses were conducted using modepost PANAS scores to determine if BEMs had a significant impact on positive and negativeed compared to a control group. The results of a 2 (Time: pre versus post) x 2 (Condition: BEM versus central-control) mixed-model ANOVA examining changes in negative moodereled a significant Time x Condition interaction F(1,58) = 4.698p = .034, $f_{p} = .075$) (see Table 4 & Figufe); differences between groups for positive mood were not significant, but displayed a general increase from pre to post for the BEM group and a decrease for the celeton trol group (see Tele 5 & Figure 6).

Subsequently, a mixed model analysisvanfiance of the midline region revealed no ew2iA5-25.49

interaction for frontablectrode pair F3-F4F(1,58) = 8.095p = .006, $R_p^2 = .122$) and parietal

electrode pair P3-P4F(1,58) = 10.911p = .002, R_{p}

change in mean FMT power from pre to **pios**both conditions. Additionally, the BEM group showed a general increase in positive mood **astdtas**tically significant decrease in negative mood, and the central-control group again shothed positive affect; namely a decrease in positive mood and a statistically signation increase in negative mood.

Exploratory analyses did noteveal significant differences that midline region (Fz, Cz, Pz) between the BEM group and the central-conginoup in any of the ecording conditions: eyes-closed, eyes-open, or combined. Howevergnificant effect wasfound at frontal and parietal lateral electrode locat

Edlin and Lyle (2013) proposed that executing the 30 s BEM manipulation produces an increase in attentional control daprepares participants for exetive control tasks that follow. This hypothesis was supported beittfindings that the execution BEMs leads to shorter RTs during incongruent trials of the ANT-R task repared to a central-ctrol group and, as the authors concluded, that BEMs enhanced there exists function networdue to the top-down attentional control required to execute BEMs (Edlin & Ley, 2013; Edlin & Lyle 2014). The current study revealed a general increased of occurring after the execution of BEMs compared to the central-control condition, and support that executing BEMs facilitates attention and may prime cognition for performance on subsequent memory, attention, and creativity tasks (e.g., Christman, Garvey, PropsePhaneuf, 2003; Lyle & Martin, 2010; Shobe, Ross, & Fleck, 2009). However, while visions in attentional-control between the two conditions reflected general or the distribution of FMT power, the current study suggests that the FMT effects may be more apparentmention or challenging task lated demands of the executive function network that guire greater working memory load and sustained mental effort (Gevinse al., 1997; Gevins et. al, 1998; GuhdeeWilson, 1992; Smith, Gevins, Brown, Karnik, & Du, 2001; Yamamoto & Matsuoka, 1990).

One of the aims of the current study wasoontribute to the shore of resting state EEG research exploring the neural mechanication BEMs. To date, no other study has specifically explored the effects of BEMs on FMan established EEG marker of executive attention. Previous EEG research has atteorne explain BEMs by testing the IHI hypothesis which states that BEMs equalized ation levels of the hemisphese thus allowing for superior episodic memory retrieval (Christmanal., 2003). Propper et. (2008) explored gamma activity at electrode sites FP1 and FP2 due for the sociation with the processing of episodic memories (Babiloné al., 2010). Contrary to the IHI theo Propper et al. (2008) found that BEMs led to a decrease in gamma coherentwedeen frontal pole electede pair FP1 and FP2. No other homologous electrode sites were exact Moreover, a follow up investigation of BEMs to address the limitations of examininging le electrode pair in the aforementioned study was performed by Samara et al. (2011) a parietal locations that occurred ncurrently with the increase in frontal theta power coincides with fMRI studies that showetthat decreases in activity in the temporoparietal junction (TPJ) during voluntary control of attention along with cheases in activity in thintraparietal sulcus (IP) and the FEF during a visual motion deiter task occurred concurrently (Shulmate al., 2003). Recent studies have suggesthead dorsal frontoparietal givens are involved in directing attention based on goals or expetients, whereas regions in the J are activated by subsequent target detection, particularly the target is unexpected and requisitention to be reoriented (Corbettate al. 2000; Lindente al. 1999; Macalusof; rith, & Driver, 2002; Marois Leung, & Gore, 2000). The nature of the BEM task aligns closes the these studies in that it is a visual manipulation consisting of expecteblifts of visual attention alterating from left to right every 500 ms for 30 s without any reomination of attention. The presence sults open up possibility that the attentional and prietare gions, thereby supporting tilt for

EX (Edlin & Lyle, 2013).

Former EEG investigations of resting-state ibractivity, a state of vakeful rest without cognitive task demands, have suggested madified ences in EEG between eyes-closed versus eyes-open resting states (Chem, G, Zhao, Yin, & Wang, 2007; Kounides al., 2007). EEG data in the current study underscored differences is sequesed versus eyes even resting state brain activity and showed signicant differences between the B/E condition and the central-control condition at frontal and pariet between electrode locations ferges-closed recording conditions but not in eyes-open recording the differences in the tap owear frontal regions during eyes-closed recordings is consistent with the finding Chen et al. (2007) the evealed a significant

reduction in theta power from eyes-closed to express states at the frontcentral area. With an increase in FMT and overall theta activity the frontal region after execution of BEMs, the results of this study demonstrate pronounced difference in eyes-closed resting-state recordings between the BEM group and the central-control ition not evident in the eyes-open recording condition.

The BEM condition showed increased frontal theta activity concurrently with a significant reduction in negative ood thereby supporting reseates the reports individuals exhibiting greater theta tive ity tend to have lower state a treatile anxiety scores (Inanaga, 1998).

research may consider administring the post-manipulation report measure of mood immediately after the experimentar control task, potentially evealing a stronger effect of BEMs on mood. A final limitation of the current sturdy as that the investigation was limited to the theta frequency band. Previous studies is aboven that the alpha frequency band is associated with visual attention (Kounicosal., 2007), and thus future vestigations exploring absolute power across all frequency bands d/prodvide a meaningfud ontribution to the literature examining the neural mechanism BEMs. Due to the low task demands of resting-state EEG, research containing challenging main al demands due executive function network following BEMs will potentially reveatione prominent FMT effects and shed light on the relationship between BEMs and the gratic enhancement that follows.

In conclusion, the current study ggests that various degree sattentional-control are reflected by changes in FMT, and the heighteatteentional-control that follows from BEMs appear to increase theta power frontal brain regions and the cenegative mood. Resting-state EEG data from this study make a meaning fult tribution to our understeading of the differences between eyes-closed versus eyes-open brain activities a visual attention task. In order to better test the cognitive enhancement the trace after BEMs, future EEG studies should implemgnit]TJ,t.000rol are appt(d ligh liTJ 22. -.48(na .0005 Tcemnitive self-rif)3.bilen br)-6.1(55ngful))

of the interhemispheric correlationaring sleep in elderly subjected to

- **y** , **6**(2), 191.
- Barrowcliff, A. L., Gray, N. S., Freeman, T., & MacCulloch, M. J(2004). Eye-movements reduce the vividness, emotional valence **elect**trodermal arousal associated with negative autobiographical memori**ES.**
 - , 1 (2), 325-345. doi:10.1080/14789940410001673042
- Barry, R. J., Clarke, A. R., Johnstone, SMagee, C. A., & Rushby, J. A. (2007). EEG differences between eyes-clossend eyes-open resting conditions.
 - , 1 (12), 2765-2773. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2007.07.028
- Brunyé, T. T., Mahoney, C. R., Augustyn, J. S., & Toa, H. A. (2009). Horizontal saccadic eye movements enhance the **ietr**al of landmark shape and location information.

j, 70(3), 279-288. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2009.03.003

Chen, A. C., Feng, W., Zhao, H., Yin, Y., & WarRg,(2008). EEG default mode network in the human brain: spectrægional field powers ,41 (2), 561-

574. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.12.064

Christman, S. D., Garvey, K. J., Propper, R. E., & Phaneuf, K. A. (2003). Bilateral eye movements enhance the retrate of episodic memorie , 1 (2), 221. doi:10.1037/0894-4105.17.2.221

Corbetta, M., Akbudak, E., Conturo, T. E., SnyderZ., Ollinger, J. M., Drury, H. A., & Shulman, G. L. (1998) A common network of function areas for attention and eye movements **a**, **21**(4), 761-773. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80593-0

Corbetta, M., Kincade, J. MQllinger, J. M., McAvoy, M. P., & Shulman, G. L. (2000).

electroencephalogram oscillations during **seum**emory: familiarity is reflected in the gamma band, recollection in the theta b**articipia** , **20**(6), 1043-1053. doi:10.1162/jocn.2008.20068

Gundel, A., & Wilson, G. F. (1992). Topographi**ca** anges in the ongoin **G**EG related to the difficulty of mental tasks **B** , **5**(1), 17-25. doi:10.1007/BF01129966

Hsieh, L. T., Ekstrom, A. D., & Ranganath, C. (201N) eural oscillations associated with item and temporal order maintenance in working members

N, 31(30), 10803-10810. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0828-11.2011

Henriques, J. B., & Davidson, R. J. (1991) ft frontal hypoactiation in depression 6

by , 1 (4), 535. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.100.4.535

Hopfinger, J. B., Buonocore, M. H., & Mangua, R. (2000). The neural mechanisms of top-down attentional controlline , 3(3), 285doi:10.1038/72999

Inanaga, K. (1998). Frontal midlinbeta rhythm and mental activind

b a , **3** (6), 555-566doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.1998.tb02700.x

Ishii, A., Tanaka, M., & Watanabe, Y. (2014). The Neel Mechanisms Underlying the Decision To Rest in the Presence of tigate: A Magentoencephalography Stuble 91. , 1-8 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109740

Kounios, J., Fleck, J. I., Green, D. L., Payloe, Stevenson, J. L., Bowden, E. M., & Jung-Beeman, M. (2008). The origins ion/sight in restring-state brain

activity. , 46 (1), 281-291 doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.07.013

Lee, C. W., & Drummond, P. D2008). Effects of eye movementrses therapist instructions on the processing of distressing memorial (10.1016/j.janxdis.2007.08.007), 22(5), 801-808.

Marois, R., Leung, H. C., & Gore, J. C. (2000)st Amulus-driven approact o object identity and location processing in the human brin. , 25(3), 717-728. Doi:

10.1016/S0896-6273(00)81073-9

Mizuki, Y., Tanaka, M., Isozaki, H., Nishijima, H&, Inanaga, K. (1980). Periodic appearance of theta rhythm In the frontalidline area during performance of a mental

task**ja**c **b** , **4**9 (3), 345-351 doi:

10.1016/0013-4694(80)90229-1

Mizuki, Y., Kajimura, N., Nishi

bilateral eye movements on frontaterhemispheric gamma EEG coherence:

Purves, D, Augustine, G.J., Fitzpatrick, D, tXd..C, LaMantia, A.S., O McNamara, J., and Williams, S.M. (2001) (2nd ed.) Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates

Raine, A., & Benishay, D. (1995). The SPQ-BbAef screening instrument for schizotypal personality disorde to pail , 9(4), 346-355.

doi:10.1521/pedi.1995.9.4.346

Roberts, B. M., Hsieh, L. T., & Ranganath, OD(2). Oscillatory activity during maintenance of

Shulman, G. L., d'Avossa, G., Tansy, A. P., & Oetth, M. (2002). Two attretional processes in the parietal lob

Shulman, G. L., McAvoy, M. P., Cowan, M. C., Atitex, S. V., Tansy, A. P., d'Avossa, G., & Corbetta, M. (2003). Quantitative AnalysisAttlention and Detection Signals During Visual Search **J** , **90**, 3384-3397doi:10.1152/jn.00343.2003

Smith, M. E., Gevins, A., Brown, H., Karnik, & Du, R. (2001). Monitoring task loading with multivariate EEG measures during complex forms of human-computer interaction **IE BIGLIE**

5 , **4** (3), 366-380. doi:10.1518/001872001775898287

Solomon, R. M., & Shapiro, F. (2008). EMDERId the adaptive information processing modelpotential mechanisms of change RPd R , 2(4), 315-325 iii 10.1891/1933-3196.2.4.315

Squire, L., Berg, D., Bloom, F. E., du La Ghosh, A., & Spitzer, N. C. (Eds.).

(2012).

Stewart, J. L., Bismark, A. W., Twers, D. N., Coan, J. A., & Allen, J. J. (2010). Resting frontal EEG asymmetry as an endophenety or depression risk: sex expific patterns of frontal brain asymmetry **1** (3), 502. doi:10.1037/a0019196

Stickgold, R. (2002). EMDR: A putative neurobiological mechanism of attion.

by , **8** (1), 61-75.doi:10.1002/jclp.1129

Suetsugi, M., Mizuki, Y., Ushijima, I., Kobayashi, T., Tsuchiya, K., Aoki, T., & Watanabe, Y. (2000). Appearance of frontal midline thetaivity in patients with generalized anxiety disorder , 41 (2), 108-112. doi:10.1159/000026641

Taylor, J. A. (1953). A personial scale of manifest anxiet

5 , **4** (2), 285. doi:10.1037/h0056264

Tesche, C. D., & Karhu, J. (2000). Thetaibstions index human hippocampal activation

during a working memory tas **P6 b bid6 5** , **97**(2),

(2),

Age and self-report measures of personality and affect.

K

tige and ben report modeline of perconanty and anoot.

Condition	Control $\mathbf{\hat{n}} = 28$)		Eye Movementn(= 32		
	М	SD	М	SD	
Age	20.21	3.47	19.61	1.93	
SPQ Total	7.68	4.88	6.97	4.12	
PANAS Positive	32.81	4.84	34.23	5.47	
PANAS Negative	18.71	5.18	19.48	6.70	
Extraversion	4.32	1.41	4.65	1.56	
Agreeableness	4.88	.86	5.13	1.02	
Conscientiousness	5.79	.98	6.16	.81	
Emotional Stability	4.88	1.33	4.73	1.20	
Openness	5.50	1.16	5.53	1.20	
P-Brief Positive	12.04	4.65	14.06	4.69	
P-Brief Negative	6.21	1.66	5.52	1.38	
Handedness	90.71	9.10	90.00	10.33	

.

ANOVA Exploring differences in Theta Power for ime (Overall Pre versus Overall Post) by Electrode Location (Midline Electrode: Fz) bo condition (Eye-Movement Versus Control) Comparisons

🗗 🖬 SS df

X

ANOVA's Exploring differences Positive and Negative Moof Time (Pre versus Post) by Condition (Eye-Movement Versus Control) Comparisons

N Bi	9	1	9	ő	Ø	9
Time	x Condition .3	06	1.3	06 .58	.446	.010

			Į	Į.		
£		₽			Ŋ	
	I	2	B	P	B	
Б	0	g	6	9		
	¥	۶	ļ			
RI	4	3	9	Ø		
	¥	Ţ	ļ	e s		

ANOVA Exploring differences in Theta Power foTime (Overall Pre versus Overall Post) by Electrode Location (Midline Electrode: Fz, Cz, and Pz) by Condition (Eye-Movement Versus Control) Comparisons

6	SS	df	MS	F	р
---	----	----	----	---	---

ANOVA Exploring differences in Theta Power fo

ANOVA Exploring Differences in Theta Power betwe Donditions (Eye-movementersus Control) for Time (Overall Pre versus Overall Post) by Electrddecation (Anterior-Posterior), by Hemisphere

6	SS	df	MS	F	р	ES
Condition	.163	1	.163	.265	.608	.005
Time	.005	1	.005	.336	.564	.006
Time x Condition	.010	1	.010	.689	.410	.012
AP	441.579	2.794	158.037	111.546	.000	.658
AP x Condition	3.196	2.794	1.144	.807	.484	.014
Hemi	10.435	1	10.435	21.809	.000	.273
Hemi X Condition	.045	1	.045	.094	.760	.002
Time x AP	.054	2.701	.020	.676	.553	.012
a	ő 9	3	4 0	Ø		
Time x Hemi	.012	1	.012	.589	.446	.010
Time x Hemi x Condition	.019	1	.019	.922	.341	.016
AP x Hemi	2.711	3.365	.806	2.300	.071	.038
AP x Hemi x Condition	.211	3.365	.063	.179	.928	.003
Time x AP x Hemi	.066	2.984	.022	1.812	.147	.030
Time X AP x Hemi X Condition	.049	2.984	.016	1.331	.266	.022

x

ANOVAs Exploring Group Differences in Teta Power between Conditions (Eye-movement versus Control) for Time (Overall Pre versus **Prall** Post) by Electrode Location (Anterior-Posterior)

SS	df	MS	F	р	ES
n .226	1	.226	.217	.643	.004
n .015	1	.015	.549	.462	.009
.003	1	.003	.007	.934	.000
6	1	6	Ø	Ø	2
n 1.455	1	1.455	1.471	.230	.025
า .059	1	.059	6.036	.017	.094
.234	1	.243	.320	.574	.005
8	1	8	9	Ø	8
n 1.432	1	1.432	1.068	.306	.018
n 0.00	1	0.00	.006	.938	.000
	SS n .226 n .015 n .003 f n 1.455 n .059 n .234 s n 1.432 n 1.432 n 0.00	SS df n .226 1 n .015 1 n .003 1 i .059 1 i .234 1	SS df MS n .226 1 .226 n .015 1 .015 n .003 1 .003 i .059 1 .059 i .234 1 .243 i .234 1 .243 i .234 1 .243 i .234 1 .243 i .000 1 0.00	SS df MS F n .226 1 .226 .217 n .015 1 .015 .549 n .003 1 .003 .007 6 1 6 9 n 1.003 .007 .007 6 1 1.455 1.471 n .059 1 .059 6.036 n .234 1 .243 .320 a .234 1 .243 .320 a .1 .243 .320 a .1 .243 .320 a .1 .243 .320 a .1 .243 .320	SS df MS F p n .226 1 .226 .217 .643 n .015 1 .015 .549 .462 n .003 1 .003 .007 .934 6 1 6 9 6 n 1.455 1.471 .230 n .059 1 .059 6.036 .017 n .234 1 .243 .320 .574 8 1 8 9 9 n 1.432 1.068 .306 n 0.00 .006 .938

ANOVA Exploring Differences in Theta Powrebetween Conditions (Eye-movement versus Control) for Time (Pre-Closed Versus Post-Closed Versus Post-Closed Location (Anterior-Posterior), by Hemisphere

6	SS	df	MS	F	р	ES
Condition	.158	1	.158	.258	.614	.004
Time x Condition	.004	1	.004	.205	.653	.004
AP x Condition	3.283	2.732	1.202	.757	.508	.013
Hemi x Condition	.100	1	.100	.212	.647	.004
	8	6	Ø	8	Ø	0
Time x Hemi x Condition	.009	1	.009	.234	.630	.004
AP x Hemi x Condition	.102	3.330	.030	.085	.976	.001
Time x AP x Hemi x Condition	.122	3.101	.040	2.006	.113	.033

ANOVA Exploring Differences in Theta Powrebetween Conditions (Eye-movement versus Control) for Time (Pre-Open versus Post-Open) Electrode Location (Anterior-Posterior), by Hemisphere

6	SS	df	MS	F	р	ES
Condition	.215	1	.215	.336	.565	.006
Time x Condition	.015	1	.015	.1.036	.313	.018
AP x Condition	3.335	2.773	1.203	.888	.442	.015
Hemi x Condition	.046	1	.046	.102	.751	.002

Raw PANAS and P-Brief scores westeandardized. This figure illustrates a significant decrease in negative nood for the BEM conditionand a signification for the central-control condition.

Raw PANAS and P-Brief scores westeandardized. This figure illustrates a general increase in positive mood for the NBE condition and a general decrease for the central-control condition.

Raw theta power scores were log transformed and standardized. This figure illustrates a significant increase for the BEM condition and a **śiga**nt decrease for the central-control condition in overall theta power from pre to postfrontal electrode pair F3-F4.

Raw theta power scores were log transformed and standardized. This figure illustrates a significant decrease for the BEM condition and a **isigant** increase for the central-control condition in overall theta power from pre to post at parietal electrode pair P3-P4.

Raw theta power scores were log transformed and standardized. This figure illustrates a signiteral Eye8ustraten ey.32closef sl6(d)-250lofrom pJ -to-5.st atofronttelecdwode paird an2(F3-F4.9 TD 08