



# Guide to Rating Critical & Integrative Thinking: Long Form

Washington State University 2009

Instructions : For each of the seven criteria below:

- a) circle specific phrases which describe the work, and writing comments
- b) circle a numeric score for each criteria (or indicate a half point increment)

Notes

- A score of 4 represents competency for a student graduating from WSU.
- Assess by what is appropriate to the context / task ; as needed / as appropriate are implicit in all descriptors . Similarly, not all criteria apply to every assignment or mode.

1. Identifies and focuses (and appropriately reformulates) the issue, problem, question .

	Absent 0	Minimal 1	Emerging 2	Developing 3	Competent 4	Effective 5	Mastering 6
ABSENT		Attempts with limited success to identify and summarize the issue; or does so superficially, incompletely, or inaccurately . Scope may be overly narrow or overbroad.		Identifies and focuses on the issue(s), though minor aspects may be inaccurate, confused, inappropriately weighted, or extraneous. Partially identifies related subsidiary issue(s).			
				Some details or nuances are missing or glossed over.			

Guide to Rating Critical & Integrative Thinking: Long Form  
Washington State University 2009

3. Presents, assesses, and analyzes appropriate supporting data/evidence

/sources .

Absent	Minimal	Emerging	Developing	Competent	Effective	Mastering
0	1	2				

Guide to Rating Critical & Integrative Thinking: Long Form  
Washington State University 2009

4. Integrates diverse relevant perspectives.

Absent	Minimal	Emerging	Developing	Competent	Effective	Mastering
--------	---------	----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------



Guide to Rating Critical & Integrative Thinking: Long Form  
Washington State University 2009

Some choices of delivery, media,  
activities, rhetorical moves, tone,